

POLITICS & INTERNATIONAL STUDIES

Beyond the Screen: Digital Citizenship and the Political Voice of Young People

A Literature-Based Analytical Paper

OMID SULTANI

Literature-Based Analytical Paper

2026

A study of digital citizenship, civic responsibility, and the changing political voice of young people.

Abstract

Digital technologies have transformed the ways young people communicate, learn, organize, and participate in public life. Social media and online communities can create new opportunities for young citizens to express opinions, encounter political information, discuss public issues, and connect with people beyond their immediate communities. However, digital participation also creates challenges involving misinformation, online hostility, privacy, unequal access, and the difficulty of distinguishing meaningful civic engagement from temporary online attention. This paper examines digital citizenship as a broader concept that connects online behavior with civic responsibility and political participation. Drawing on existing academic literature, it explores how young people can move from being passive consumers of digital content to more informed and responsible participants in public life. The paper argues that digital citizenship is not simply about having access to technology; it also involves critical thinking, respectful communication, media literacy, awareness of digital rights and responsibilities, and the ability to evaluate information. Understanding these dimensions is important for education systems and societies seeking to prepare young people for political participation in an increasingly digital public sphere.

Keywords

Digital citizenship; young people; political participation; social media; civic engagement; media literacy; digital politics

1. Introduction

Young people are growing up in a political environment in which digital communication is closely connected to everyday life. News, political discussions, public campaigns, educational materials, and debates about social issues can now reach people through smartphones and online platforms. This development has changed not only how information is distributed but also how citizens encounter political ideas and communicate with one another. Traditional forms of political participation, such as voting, attending public meetings, joining organizations, or contacting representatives, remain important. At the same time, digital spaces have introduced additional forms of participation. A young person may share information about a public issue, join an online discussion, create educational content, support a civic campaign, or communicate directly with an organization. These activities can make political participation more immediate and accessible. Yet access to digital communication does not automatically produce informed citizenship. Online environments can also expose users to inaccurate information, political manipulation, harassment, and highly emotional content. For this reason, the concept of digital citizenship is useful for understanding the relationship between technology and civic life. Digital citizenship considers not only what people can do online, but also how responsibly and critically they use digital spaces. This paper examines the role of digital citizenship in the political participation of young people. It focuses on the opportunities created by digital communication as well as the responsibilities and challenges that accompany them.

2. Research Question

How does digital citizenship influence the ways young people participate in political and civic life, and what skills are needed for meaningful participation in the digital public sphere?

3. Methodology

This paper uses a literature-based analytical approach. It does not present an original survey, interview, experiment, or statistical dataset. Instead, it brings together ideas and findings from existing academic research on social media, civic engagement, political participation, media literacy, and young people's digital behavior. The purpose is to develop a clear conceptual discussion of digital citizenship and its relationship to political participation.

4. From Digital Access to Digital Citizenship

Having access to the internet is an important condition for participation in digital society, but access alone does not guarantee meaningful civic engagement. Digital citizenship adds another dimension: the knowledge, skills, attitudes, and responsibilities required to participate constructively in online environments. A digitally connected citizen must often make decisions about whether information is reliable, whether a source is trustworthy, how personal information should be protected, and how disagreement should be expressed. These decisions are particularly important in political discussions because political information can influence attitudes and public debate. Digital citizenship therefore connects technological ability with civic responsibility. A person may know how to use a platform technically but still lack the skills required to evaluate political claims critically. Conversely, strong media literacy and civic awareness can help individuals use digital tools more effectively for learning and participation.

5. Young People and the Digital Public Sphere

Young people are important participants in the digital public sphere because online communication is deeply integrated into many aspects of their social lives. Social media can provide spaces where young citizens encounter political news, discuss public issues, and observe the opinions of other people. Research on social media and participation has found associations between online engagement and forms of civic or political participation, although the strength and direction of these relationships can vary across contexts. Boulianne (2015), for example, found an overall positive relationship between social media use and participation across studies, while also emphasizing that the relationship is not identical in every setting. The digital public sphere can lower some barriers to expression. A person does not necessarily need access to a formal organization or traditional media outlet to publish a political opinion. This can broaden participation, especially for individuals who may feel excluded from conventional political institutions. At the same time, visibility online is uneven, and not every voice receives the same attention.

6. Political Expression and Civic Voice

One of the most visible forms of digital citizenship is political expression. Young people can discuss social issues, explain their opinions, share educational materials, or respond to political events. Such expression can contribute to a culture in which political issues are discussed beyond formal institutions. Gil de Zúñiga, Molyneux, and Zheng (2014) examined relationships between social media use, political expression, and political participation and found evidence that these forms of engagement can be connected over time. This suggests that online expression should not automatically be treated as separate from civic life. However, expression alone is not necessarily meaningful participation. A post may communicate an opinion without encouraging further learning, dialogue, or action. Digital citizenship therefore involves considering the quality and purpose of communication, not simply the amount of content produced.

7. Media Literacy and the Problem of Misinformation

The ability to evaluate information is one of the most important skills in digital citizenship. Political information can circulate rapidly online, and users may encounter claims without knowing who produced them, what evidence supports them, or whether important context has been removed. Media literacy can help users ask basic but important questions: Who created this information? What evidence is provided? Is the source identifiable? Does another reliable source report the same information? Is the headline consistent with the actual content? These questions do not guarantee that a person will always reach the correct conclusion, but they encourage a more careful approach to information. For young citizens, developing these habits is particularly valuable because political participation depends partly on the ability to make judgments based on information. Education in media literacy can therefore support not only academic learning but also civic participation.

8. Polarization, Hostility, and Online Disagreement

Digital political discussion can bring people together, but it can also intensify disagreement. Algorithms, social networks, and personal choices may contribute to environments in which users repeatedly encounter similar opinions. When political discussion becomes strongly identity-based, disagreement can turn into hostility rather than constructive debate. This does not mean that disagreement itself is harmful. Democratic societies depend on disagreement because citizens have different interests, experiences, and values. The challenge is how disagreement is managed. Digital citizenship encourages users to distinguish between criticism of an idea and attacks on a person. Respectful online communication does not require abandoning one's political position. Instead, it involves presenting claims clearly, responding to evidence, avoiding deliberate harassment, and recognizing that other participants may have different experiences or information.

9. From Passive Consumption to Active Participation

A major question in digital citizenship is whether online activity produces meaningful civic engagement. Watching political videos or reading posts can increase awareness, but these activities are different from creating content, joining a civic initiative, communicating with institutions, or participating in community activities. The distinction between passive and active engagement is important because digital platforms make it easy to measure visible actions such as likes, shares, and comments. These metrics may show attention, but they do not necessarily show sustained political participation. A more useful approach is to view digital participation as a possible pathway. Online information may lead a person to learn more about an issue, discuss it with others, participate in an organization, or take part in an offline civic activity. Digital citizenship is strongest when online activity supports informed and constructive participation rather than ending with temporary attention.

10. Digital Inequality and Unequal Participation

Digital citizenship also requires attention to inequality. Not all young people have the same quality of internet access, devices, digital skills, educational opportunities, or freedom to communicate online. Differences in access can influence who is able to participate and whose voice becomes visible. Digital inequality is therefore more complex than simply having or not having an internet connection. It can involve differences in connection quality, affordability, skills, confidence, and the ability to use technology for meaningful purposes. If digital participation becomes increasingly important in civic life, these inequalities can have political consequences. Educational institutions and communities can help

reduce some barriers by improving digital literacy and providing opportunities for young people to develop practical information and communication skills.

11. The Role of Education

Schools and educational institutions can play an important role in developing digital citizenship. Education can teach students how to evaluate sources, recognize misleading information, communicate respectfully, protect personal information, and understand the responsibilities that accompany public expression. Civic education and digital literacy can also be connected. Students can examine real political information, compare different sources, identify evidence, and practice discussing controversial issues respectfully. Such activities can make citizenship education more relevant to the environment in which young people actually receive information. The goal should not be to tell students what political opinions to hold. Rather, education can provide skills that allow students to form and communicate their own views more carefully. This distinction is important because democratic citizenship depends on independent judgment and the ability to engage with disagreement.

12. Discussion

The literature suggests that digital technology has expanded the possibilities for political and civic participation among young people, but technology itself does not determine the quality of participation. Social media can facilitate access to information, political expression, social connection, and civic engagement. At the same time, misinformation, polarization, unequal access, and passive forms of engagement can limit these benefits. Digital citizenship provides a useful framework because it places responsibility alongside opportunity. Young people are not simply users of technology; they are participants in a public communication environment. Their choices about sharing information, responding to disagreement, protecting privacy, and evaluating sources can influence the quality of online civic life. This perspective also suggests that political participation should not be measured only by visible online activity. A meaningful digital citizen may sometimes choose not to share a piece of content because its accuracy is uncertain. Another person may spend time checking several sources before discussing an issue. These less visible behaviors can also represent responsible participation. Ultimately, the value of digital political participation depends partly on the skills and norms surrounding it. Technology can make participation easier, but education, critical thinking, civic knowledge, and respectful communication help determine what people do with that opportunity.

13. Conclusion

Digital citizenship has become an important part of understanding political participation among young people. Digital platforms have created new ways to encounter political information, express opinions, communicate with others, and participate in civic life. These opportunities can broaden access to political discussion, but they also create responsibilities and challenges. The central lesson is that digital citizenship is more than technological competence. It includes critical evaluation of information, awareness of digital rights and responsibilities, respectful communication, and an understanding of how online behavior can affect wider civic life. Young people who develop these skills are better positioned to participate thoughtfully in an increasingly digital public sphere. The future of political participation will not be determined by technology alone. It will also depend on how citizens, educators, communities, and institutions use digital spaces. Supporting young people's ability to think critically, communicate responsibly, and participate independently can help make digital environments more constructive spaces for civic engagement.

References

- Boulianne, S. (2015). Social media use and participation: A meta-analysis of current research. *Information, Communication & Society*, 18(5), 524–538. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2015.1008542>
- Gil de Zúñiga, H., Jung, N., & Valenzuela, S. (2012). Social media use for news and individuals' social capital, civic engagement and political participation. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 17(3), 319–336. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2012.01574.x>
- Gil de Zúñiga, H., Molyneux, L., & Zheng, P. (2014). Social media, political expression, and political participation: Panel analysis of lagged and concurrent relationships. *Journal of Communication*, 64(4), 612–634. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12103>
- Loader, B. D., Vromen, A., & Xenos, M. A. (2014). The networked young citizen: Social media, political participation and civic engagement. *Information, Communication & Society*, 17(2), 143–150. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2013.871571>
- Mihailidis, P. (2018). Civic media literacies: Re-imagining engagement and participation. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 43(2), 152–164. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2018.1434041>
- Vromen, A., Loader, B. D., Xenos, M. A., & Bailo, F. (2016). Everyday making through Facebook engagement: Young citizens' political interactions in Australia, the United Kingdom and the United States. *Political Studies*, 64(3), 513–533. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032321715614012>

Author Note

This paper is a literature-based analytical study prepared for academic and educational purposes. It does not claim original survey, interview, or experimental findings.