

The Role of Civic Education in Strengthening Youth Political Participation: Knowledge, Skills, and Democratic Engagement

A Literature-Based Analytical Paper

Author	Omid Sultani
Level of Study	High School Graduate
Year	2026
Paper Type	Literature-Based Analytical Paper

Prepared as a literature-based analytical study on youth civic learning and political participation. No original survey or interview data are claimed.

Abstract

Young people are increasingly visible in public debate, community initiatives, digital spaces, and other forms of civic activity, yet their participation in formal political processes does not always develop at the same pace. This paper examines the role of civic education in strengthening meaningful youth political participation. It focuses on three connected dimensions: political knowledge, practical civic skills, and opportunities to participate in democratic life. Drawing on recent work from the OECD, UNESCO, UNDP, and academic research, the paper argues that civic education is more effective when it moves beyond memorizing institutions and definitions and gives young people opportunities to discuss public issues, evaluate information, practise deliberation, collaborate with others, and take part in realistic civic activities. The literature also suggests that participation gaps are shaped by educational, social, and economic inequalities, meaning that access to civic learning matters as much as its content. The paper concludes that schools and youth programmes can contribute to stronger political participation when they combine knowledge with practical experience, inclusive discussion, media and digital literacy, and meaningful opportunities for young people to express their views and contribute to community decision-making. The paper is literature-based and does not present original survey or interview data.

Introduction

Youth political participation is an important part of democratic life because decisions made by public institutions have consequences across generations. Young people participate in politics in many ways, including voting, contacting public officials, joining community organisations, volunteering, discussing public issues, attending demonstrations, participating in consultations, and communicating political ideas online. These forms of participation are not identical, and they can change as political institutions, technologies, and social expectations change.

Recent evidence illustrates this changing pattern. The OECD's Government at a Glance 2025 reports that, in its 2024 survey, young people aged 18–29 were less likely than older groups to report some formal political activities, while they were more likely to report certain informal activities such as demonstrations and posting political content on social media. This does not mean that young people are simply uninterested in politics; rather, it suggests that the forms through which political engagement takes place are changing.

Education is one factor that can shape whether young people have the knowledge, confidence, and practical skills needed to participate. Civic education can introduce students to political institutions, rights, responsibilities, public policy, and democratic processes. However, contemporary research increasingly emphasizes that effective civic learning should also develop skills such as discussion, critical thinking, collaboration, information evaluation, and participation. The OECD's recent work describes civic education as a pathway toward more inclusive civic and social engagement, while UNESCO's work on youth participation emphasizes the importance of understanding participation not only as an outcome but also as a process through which young people develop agency.

This paper therefore examines a central question: how can civic education help transform young people's political interest and awareness into meaningful participation?

Research Question

How can civic education strengthen young people's political participation by developing political knowledge, practical civic skills, and opportunities for meaningful democratic engagement?

Methodology

This paper uses a qualitative, literature-based analytical approach. It does not claim to have collected original survey, interview, or experimental data. Instead, it synthesizes findings and arguments from selected institutional reports and peer-reviewed academic research concerning civic education, youth participation, political engagement, and democratic learning. Key sources include recent publications from the OECD, UNESCO, and UNDP, together with academic studies published in peer-reviewed journals.

The analysis is organized around three dimensions that repeatedly appear in the literature: knowledge, skills, and opportunities. Political knowledge refers to understanding institutions, rights, public policy, and democratic procedures. Civic skills include discussion, critical evaluation of information, cooperation, communication, and the ability to participate constructively in public life. Opportunities refer to practical spaces in which young people can use these forms of knowledge and skill, such as student councils, mock elections, youth parliaments, community projects, consultations, volunteering, and other participatory activities.

1. Civic Knowledge as a Foundation for Participation

A basic understanding of political institutions and democratic processes can give young people a framework for interpreting public events and evaluating political choices. Without knowledge of how institutions operate, participation can be difficult because individuals may not know where decisions are made, which actors are responsible, or how citizens can influence public processes.

The OECD's 2025 work on civic education emphasizes that education can help students develop the knowledge and skills needed for meaningful democratic engagement. Earlier OECD research also found relationships between educational experiences and young people's engagement with political and social issues. These findings do not imply that education is the only cause of political participation. Family environment, social conditions, access to information, economic circumstances, and opportunities for participation also matter. Nevertheless, civic learning can provide an important foundation.

Knowledge should also be connected to real public questions. Teaching only institutional definitions may make politics appear distant from everyday life. When students examine how a local policy affects their community, compare different policy arguments, or explore how a public consultation works, political knowledge becomes more practical. This connection between knowledge and real-world issues can help students understand that politics is not limited to elections or political offices; it also concerns decisions about education, public services, communities, rights, and shared resources.

2. From Memorization to Civic Skills

Knowing political facts is valuable, but participation also requires skills. Young citizens may understand the basic structure of government while still lacking experience in expressing disagreement respectfully, assessing evidence, identifying unreliable information, organizing a community activity, or presenting a policy argument.

Research on civic education has therefore increasingly highlighted experiential and skill-based learning. The OECD's 2023 policy paper on engaging young citizens reports that some effective practices move away from knowledge-transfer alone and toward experiential learning that places students at the center of the learning process. Similarly, Hillygus and Holbein argue that preparing young people for democratic participation requires practical knowledge and interpersonal and intrapersonal abilities rather than rote learning alone.

These skills are particularly important in an information environment where young people encounter political claims through multiple channels. Media and digital literacy can help students distinguish evidence from unsupported claims, compare sources, recognize persuasive techniques, and understand how political information circulates online. Such skills do not tell young people what political position to adopt. Instead, they support independent judgment and more informed participation.

Discussion and deliberation are also important. A classroom that allows students to examine a public issue from different perspectives can help them practise listening, reasoning, evidence-based argument, and respectful disagreement. These abilities are transferable beyond school because democratic participation often involves competing interests and incomplete information.

3. Experiential Learning and Democratic Practice

One of the strongest themes in the literature is the value of learning by doing. Civic participation becomes more understandable when young people have opportunities to experience democratic processes rather than only read about them.

The OECD's Youth Policy Toolkit describes examples such as mock elections and youth parliament programmes in Denmark, Portugal, and Sweden. These activities give students opportunities to learn about voting procedures, discuss political ideas, organize activities, and practise representation. The examples also show how civic education can connect classroom learning with participation outside the classroom.

Experiential learning can take many forms. Schools can organize student consultations, structured debates, school elections, community research projects, public-policy simulations, or youth forums. Students can also participate in volunteer projects where they identify a local problem, work with others, and communicate recommendations. Such activities can make abstract ideas—representation, accountability, participation, public interest, and collective action—more concrete.

The purpose of experiential civic education is not to direct students toward a particular political position. Its educational value comes from giving them a structured environment in which they can practise participation, understand procedures, consider evidence, and learn how collective decisions are made. This distinction is important because civic education should support agency and informed judgment rather than political indoctrination.

4. Inclusion and Unequal Access to Civic Participation

Not all young people have the same opportunities to develop political knowledge or participate in civic life. The OECD's 2025 working paper on civic education notes that participation patterns differ across groups and identifies education level, gender, and socio-economic background among factors

associated with participation gaps. This means that expanding civic education requires attention to access as well as curriculum design.

Students from disadvantaged backgrounds may face barriers such as limited access to educational resources, fewer extracurricular opportunities, restricted internet access, or less exposure to civic organizations. If civic programmes are available only to students who already have resources and confidence, they may unintentionally reproduce participation inequalities.

Inclusive civic education should therefore make participation accessible to different groups. Schools and youth organizations can provide low-cost or free activities, create multiple ways to contribute, ensure that discussion spaces are respectful, and use accessible materials. Digital participation can expand access, but it should not replace offline opportunities for students who have limited connectivity.

Inclusion also concerns whose experiences are represented in classroom discussions. Young people are more likely to see participation as meaningful when they can connect public issues to their own communities and daily lives. Civic education can create space for students to identify problems that matter to them and to consider how institutions and communities can respond.

5. Youth Participation in a Changing Political Environment

Youth participation is not disappearing simply because traditional forms of participation may change. Instead, young people can move between formal and informal forms of political engagement. The OECD's 2025 data illustrate this contrast: younger respondents reported lower participation in some formal activities while reporting relatively higher participation in activities such as demonstrations and political posting online.

This changing environment creates both opportunities and challenges. Digital platforms can make political information easier to access, allow young people to communicate quickly, and create spaces for collective action. At the same time, online political communication can expose users to misinformation, polarization, harassment, and simplified or emotionally persuasive content.

For civic education, the implication is that digital participation should be treated as part of contemporary citizenship. Students can learn how to verify claims, identify sources, understand context, distinguish opinion from evidence, and communicate responsibly. These skills can complement traditional civic knowledge rather than replace it.

The broader goal is to prepare young people for a political environment in which participation can occur through many channels. A student may participate in a school election, volunteer in a community project, discuss a policy issue online, contact a public institution, or take part in a formal election after reaching voting age. Civic education can help connect these experiences into a broader understanding of citizenship.

6. The Role of Schools and Youth Organizations

Schools are important environments for civic learning because they can reach large numbers of young people before they become regular participants in formal political institutions. However, schools cannot carry the entire responsibility alone. Youth organizations, community groups, local institutions, and public programmes can provide additional spaces for practical participation.

The OECD's work on youth volunteering and civic service suggests that volunteering and civic service can support confidence, skills development, solidarity, social inclusion, and further civic engagement. These activities demonstrate that political participation is not limited to electoral politics. Helping to address a community problem can also develop organizational, communication, and cooperation skills that are relevant to public life.

Youth organizations can also create bridges between young people and institutions. Consultations, youth councils, public forums, and participatory projects can give young people experience in communicating recommendations and seeing how institutions respond. For participation to be meaningful, however, opportunities should include clear information about how young people's contributions are considered. Participation that has no visible connection to decision-making may create frustration rather than confidence.

The literature therefore points toward a partnership model: schools can build knowledge and skills, youth organizations can provide practical experiences, and public institutions can create channels through which young people's views can be heard and considered.

7. Challenges and Limitations

Civic education should not be treated as a simple solution to every problem of youth political participation. First, education operates alongside many other influences. Economic conditions, family environment, social networks, institutional trust, political opportunity, and access to information can affect participation. A strong civic curriculum cannot automatically remove these wider barriers.

Second, civic education itself can be implemented in very different ways. A course that emphasizes memorization may have a different effect from a programme that combines knowledge, discussion, projects, and participation. The quality of implementation therefore matters.

Third, there is a risk of confusing political education with political persuasion. Civic education should help students understand democratic institutions and develop independent judgment. It should provide opportunities to examine different arguments and evidence rather than instructing students which political position to adopt.

Finally, digital participation creates a continuing challenge. Young people need access to reliable information and the skills to navigate a complex information environment, but no single educational programme can eliminate misinformation or polarization. Continuous development of media literacy, critical thinking, and responsible communication is therefore important.

Conclusion

Civic education can play an important role in strengthening youth political participation when it connects knowledge with practical skills and meaningful opportunities to participate. The literature reviewed in this paper suggests that young people need more than information about political institutions. They also need opportunities to discuss public issues, evaluate evidence, collaborate, communicate across differences, and practise democratic procedures.

The changing pattern of youth participation makes this especially relevant. Young people may participate less in some traditional political activities while engaging more in informal, community-based, protest, or digital forms of political expression. Civic education can respond to this

environment by treating citizenship as a combination of knowledge, skills, responsibility, and participation.

The most useful approach is therefore not to ask whether young people are politically engaged in a single sense, but to examine how they participate, what barriers they face, and whether educational and institutional environments give them meaningful opportunities to contribute. Schools, youth organizations, and public institutions can work together to create these opportunities through debates, student elections, youth parliaments, volunteering, consultations, community projects, and digital literacy activities.

Ultimately, civic education is most valuable when it supports young people's capacity to make informed choices for themselves and to participate constructively in public life. It should not determine what young people think. Instead, it can help them develop the knowledge, skills, confidence, and opportunities needed to think critically, communicate responsibly, and take part in the democratic processes that affect their communities and futures.

References

- Borhan, H. (2025). Civic education as a pathway to inclusive societies: Exploring the role of education in fostering civic and social engagement. OECD Education Working Papers, No. 326. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/3f128be8-en>
- Gagliardi, P., Pérez-Raynaud, O., & Robinson, A. (2024). Promoting youth volunteering and civic service engagement: A stocktake of national programmes across OECD countries and the European Union. OECD Working Papers on Public Governance, No. 77. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/39659e6a-en>
- Hillygus, D. S., & Holbein, J. B. (2023). Refocusing civic education: Developing the skills young people need to engage in democracy. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 705(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/00027162231177798>
- OECD. (2023). Engaging young citizens: Civic education practices in the classroom and beyond. OECD Education Policy Perspectives, No. 65. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/2166378c-en>
- OECD. (2024). OECD Youth Policy Toolkit. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/74b6f8f3-en>
- OECD. (2025). Government at a Glance 2025: Youth representation in politics. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/0efd0bcd-en>
- Pontes, A. I., Henn, M., & Griffiths, M. D. (2019). Youth political (dis)engagement and the need for citizenship education: Encouraging young people's civic and political participation through the curriculum. *Education, Citizenship and Social Justice*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1746197917734542>
- UNDP. (2024). Youth civic and political participation curriculum. United Nations Development Programme. <https://www.undp.org/arab-states/publications/youth-civic-and-political-participation-curriculum>
- UNESCO SDG 4 Knowledge Hub. (n.d.). Perspectives on youth participation: Analytical paper. UNESCO. <https://www.unesco.org/sdg4education2030/en/knowledge-hub/perspectives-youth-participation-analytical-paper>